



Ref 2844
JL
1/23/02
DH
PATENT

Attorney's Docket No. 5577-238

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re: Dennis D. King
Serial No.: 09/407,141
Filed: September 28, 1999
For: REUSABLE CONTROLS FOR AUTOMATICALLY TRANSLATING TEXT
BETWEEN LANGUAGES (AS AMENDED)

Date: October 31, 2001

Box Non-Fee Amendment
Honorable Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

RECEIVED

JAN 16 2002

Technology Center 2600

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Sir:

Applicant provides the present Request for Reconsideration to address the issues raised in the non-final Official Action mailed August 28, 2001. Applicant appreciates the withdrawal of the objections to the abstract and the title and the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112 and 35 U.S.C. § 102. Applicant requests that the Examiner take another look at the present application in light of the arguments provided herein as Applicant submits that the pending claims are not disclosed or suggested by the cited references and the references may not be properly combined.

REMARKS

Claims 1-28 stand rejected as obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 based on alleged admitted prior art of Figure 3 of the present application (hereinafter the "APA") and United States Patent No. 6,233,620 to Gish (hereinafter "Gish"). In particular, the Official Action states that the alleged APA teaches "a method for automatically translating text with a reusable control." Official Action, p. 2. The Official Action, however, acknowledges that the alleged APA does not teach "identifying when translation...; and encapsulating said step of initializing and identifying." Official Action, p. 3. For such teachings, the Official Action relies on claims 2 and 9, Figure 23 and col. 25 lines 15-38 of Gish. Official Action, p. 3. The Official Action states that the alleged APA and Gish would be combined because "it would render the overall system less complex." Official Action, p. 3.